

# A SHORT PROOF OF DE SHALIT'S CUP PRODUCT FORMULA

BY

AMNON BESSER

*Department of Mathematics, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev  
P.O.B. 653, Be'er-Sheva 84105, Israel  
e-mail: bessera@math.bgu.ac.il*

ABSTRACT

We give a short proof of a formula of de Shalit, expressing the cup product of two vector-valued one-forms of the second kind on a Mumford curve in terms of Coleman integrals and residues. The proof uses the notion of double indices on curves and their reciprocity laws.

## 1. Introduction

In [dS88] de Shalit proved a formula for the cup product of two vector-valued differential forms on a Mumford curve. This is based on an earlier partial result of his [dS89] for two holomorphic differentials. This formula was later reproved by Iovita and Spiess [IS03]. The goal of this short note is to give an alternative short proof of de Shalit's formula based on the theory of the double index [Bes00, Section 4].

Let us state de Shalit's result. Let  $K$  be a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . Consider a Mumford curve  $\mathcal{H}/\Gamma$ , where  $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{PGL}_2(K)$  is a Schottky group and  $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathbb{P}_K^1$  is the rigid analytic space obtained by removing the limit points of  $\Gamma$ . Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional  $K$ -vector space with a representation of  $\Gamma$ . The group  $\Gamma$  acts on the space of  $V$ -valued differential forms on  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $\Omega^1(\mathcal{H}, V)$ , by the rule

$$\gamma\left(\sum \omega_i v_i\right) = \sum (\gamma^{-1})^* \omega_i \gamma(v_i)$$

(compare [dS88, 1.1]). We let it act by the same formula on spaces of functions. A  $V$ -valued differential one-form  $\omega$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  with values in  $V$  is  $\Gamma$ -invariant if

---

Received June 13, 2004

$\gamma(\omega) = \omega$  for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . It is of the second kind if its residues (with values in  $V$ , computed coordinatewise, in any basis) are 0 at any point  $z \in \mathcal{H}$ . Let  $\langle \rangle$  be a  $\Gamma$ -invariant bilinear form on  $V$ . The cup product of two  $\Gamma$ -invariant  $V$ -valued one-forms of the second kind  $\omega$  and  $\eta$  can be described by the formula

$$\omega \cup \eta = \sum_{z \in \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}} \text{Res}_z \langle F_\omega, \eta \rangle,$$

where  $F_\omega$  is any primitive of  $\omega$  locally near  $z$ , which exists (formally) because the residue of  $\omega$  at  $z$  is 0, and is independent of the choice of the primitive because the residue of  $\eta$  at  $z$  is 0. Note that the expression to be summed indeed depends only on  $z$  modulo  $\Gamma$ .

An open annulus is a rigid space isomorphic to the space  $s < |z| < r$ . An orientation on an annulus may be described as a choice of a parameter  $z$  as above, with two parameters considered equivalent if they give the same residue, as defined below. An annulus together with an orientation is called an oriented annulus. A differential form  $\omega$  on an oriented annulus  $e$  has a residue  $\text{Res}_e \omega$  such that  $\text{Res} \sum a_i z^i dz = a_{-1}$ . It can be shown that there are only two orientations, giving residues differing by multiplication by  $-1$ . By choosing a basis for  $V$  the residue extends easily to  $V$ -valued differential forms.

We now recall [dS89, Definition 2.5] that the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  has a good fundamental domain in the following sense: There are pairwise disjoint closed  $K$ -rational discs  $B_i$  and  $C_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, g$ , open annuli  $b_i$ ,  $c_i$ , and elements  $\gamma_i \in \Gamma$ , such that the following holds:

- (1) The  $\gamma_i$  freely generate  $\Gamma$ .
- (2) The unions  $B_i \cup b_i$  and  $C_i \cup c_i$  are open discs, still pairwise disjoint.
- (3) For each  $i$ ,  $\gamma_i$  maps  $B_i$  isomorphically onto the complement of  $C_i \cup c_i$  and  $b_i$  isomorphically onto  $c_i$ .
- (4) The complement of  $\bigcup_i (B_i \cup b_i \cup C_i)$  is a fundamental domain for  $\Gamma$ .

We give the annuli  $c_i$  and  $b_i$  the orientation given by the discs  $C_i$  and  $B_i$  respectively, i.e., one given by parameters extending to  $C_i \cup c_i$  and taking the value 0 in  $C_i$  (respectively with  $b_i$  and  $B_i$ ). Thus,  $c_i$  is oriented in the same way as in [dS88, 1.5] while  $b_i$  is oriented in the reversed direction to loc. cit. (the  $b_i$ 's do not show up in the formula). With this choice,  $\gamma_i: b_i \rightarrow c_i$  is orientation reversing.

The formula of de Shalit involves Coleman integration of holomorphic  $V$ -valued one-forms. While this can be described in a completely elementary way since we are dealing with subdomains of the projective line [GvdP80, p. 41], we will use the more involved theory of Coleman [CdS88] and adapt it to our case

by choosing a basis of  $V$  and then integrate coordinate by coordinate. This is clearly independent of the choice of a basis because Coleman integration is linear (up to constant). The key property of Coleman integration is its functoriality. It immediately implies that from the property  $\gamma\omega = \omega$  we may deduce that for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  the function  $\gamma(F_\omega) - F_\omega$  is constant. We can now state the main theorem.

**THEOREM 1.1** ([dS88, Theorem 1.6]): *With the data above we have*

$$\omega \cup \eta = \sum_i \langle \gamma_i F_\omega - F_\omega, \text{Res}_{c_i} \eta \rangle - \langle \text{Res}_{c_i} \omega, \gamma_i F_\eta - F_\eta \rangle.$$

The main ingredient in the present proof is the theory of double indices and their reciprocity laws on curves [Bes00, Section 4]. We need a very easy extension of this theory to vector-valued differential forms. Once this has been described, the proof is an easy computation.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:** We would like to thank Michael Spiess for suggesting this project, and the referee for some useful comments. The author is supported by a grant from the Israel Science Foundation.

**2. Double indices of vector-valued differential forms**

In this section we describe a rather straightforward generalization of the theory of double indices [Bes00, Section 4] to the case of vector-valued one-forms. The extension is fairly trivial since we consider only constant coefficients. We work over  $\mathbb{C}_p$  for convenience.

Let  $A$  be either the field of meromorphic functions in the variable  $z$  over  $\mathbb{C}_p$  or the ring of rigid analytic functions on an annulus  $\{r < |z| < s\}$  over  $\mathbb{C}_p$ . Let  $A_{\log} := A[\log(z)]$  and let  $A_{\log,1} \subset A_{\log}$  be the subspace of  $F \in A_{\log}$  which are linear in  $\log(z)$ , a condition which is equivalent to  $dF \in Adz$ .

*Definition 2.1* [Bes00, Proposition 4.5]: The double index,

$$\text{ind}(\ ): A_{\log,1} \times A_{\log,1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p,$$

is the unique antisymmetric bilinear pairing such that  $\text{ind}(F, G) = \text{Res } FdG$ , whenever  $F \in A$ .

Suppose now that  $C$  is a proper smooth curve over  $\mathbb{C}_p$  with good reduction  $X/\overline{F}_p$ , and let  $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$  be a finite non-empty set of closed points of  $X$ . We then consider, following [CdS88], the rigid analytic space  $U$  obtained from  $C$  by

removing discs  $D_i$  of the form  $|z_i| \leq r$ , with  $r < 1$ , where the reduction of  $z_i$  is a local parameter near the point  $x_i$ . Let us call these domains **simple domains**. To the disc  $D_i$  corresponds the annulus  $e_i$  given by the equation  $r < |z_i| < 1$ , which is contained in  $U$  and oriented by  $z_i$ .

Choose a branch of the  $p$ -adic logarithm. Given a rigid one-form  $\omega \in \Omega^1(U)$ , Coleman’s theory provides us with a unique up to constant, locally analytic function  $F_\omega$  on  $U$  with the property that  $dF_\omega = \omega$ . Restricted to the annuli  $e_i$  these clearly belong to  $A_{\log,1}$  and one can therefore define, for two such functions  $F_\omega$  and  $F_\eta$ , the double index  $\text{ind}_{e_i}(F_\omega, F_\eta)$ . It follows from [Bes00, Lemma 4.6] that this index depends only on the orientation. One of the main technical results of [Bes00] is the following.

PROPOSITION 2.2 ([Bes00, Proposition 4.10]): *We have*

$$\sum_i \text{ind}_{e_i}(F_\omega, F_\eta) = \Psi(\omega) \cup \Psi(\eta),$$

where  $\Psi: H_{\text{dR}}^1(U) \rightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^1(C)$  is a certain projection.

We will only need the following immediate Corollary, which follows because  $H_{\text{dR}}^1(\mathbb{P}^1/\mathbb{C}_p) = 0$ .

COROLLARY 2.3: *Suppose that  $C = \mathbb{P}^1$ . Then, in the situation above,*

$$\sum_i \text{ind}_{e_i}(F_\omega, F_\eta) = 0.$$

We can now extend the theory to vector-valued differential forms in a rather trivial way. Suppose we are given a finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{C}_p$ -vector space with a bilinear form  $\langle, \rangle$ .

Definition 2.4: Choose bases  $\{v_i\}$  and  $\{u_i\}$  for  $V$ . Suppose that the  $V$ -valued Coleman functions  $F_\omega$  and  $F_\eta$  are written as

$$F_\omega = \sum F_{\omega_i} v_i, \quad F_\eta = \sum F_{\eta_j} u_j.$$

Then, the local index  $\text{ind}_e(F_\omega, F_\eta)$  is given by

$$\text{ind}_e(F_\omega, F_\eta) = \sum_{i,j} \text{ind}_e(F_{\omega_i}, F_{\eta_j}) \langle v_i, u_j \rangle.$$

It is easy to check, using the bilinearity of  $\text{ind}_e$ , that this definition does not depend on the choice of bases. An easy consequence of the definitions is the following.

PROPOSITION 2.5: *Suppose that  $\text{Res}_e \omega = 0$ . Then  $\text{ind}_e(F_\omega, F_\eta) = \text{Res}_e \langle F_\omega, \eta \rangle$  while  $\text{ind}_e(F_\eta, F_\omega) = -\text{Res}_e \langle \eta, F_\omega \rangle$ .*

We now restrict to the case  $C = \mathbb{P}^1$  but consider more general subdomains  $U$ , obtained by removing closed discs  $D_i = \{|z - \alpha_i| \leq r_i\}$ , including the case of removing a point when  $r_i = 0$ . For each  $i$  we consider an annulus  $e_i$  in  $U$  surrounding  $D_i$ , in such a way that the open discs  $D_i \cup e_i$  are still disjoint. We will call the  $e_i$  the **annuli ends** of  $U$ . It is easy to see that  $U$  can be obtained by gluing simple domains  $U' \subset \mathbb{P}^1$  along annuli. Note that the  $U'$ 's are glued along annuli with reversed orientations. Given  $\omega \in \Omega^1(U, V)$ , one can define its Coleman integral  $F_\omega$  first on each of the  $U'$ 's as before and then by adjusting constants along the annuli. The intersection graph of the  $U'$ 's is a tree, so there is always a way of choosing an integral globally. This construction coincides with the definition of Coleman integrals in [GvdP80].

PROPOSITION 2.6: *In the situation described above we have, for any rigid  $V$ -valued one-form on  $U$ ,  $\sum_i \text{ind}_{e_i}(F_\omega, F_\eta) = 0$ .*

*Proof:* The case  $V$  trivial and  $U$  simple is Corollary 2.3. We next consider the case  $U = U'_1 \cup U'_2$  with  $U'_1$  and  $U'_2$  glued along an annulus  $e$ . Since  $e$  has reversed orientations when considered in  $U'_1$  and  $U'_2$ , the double index  $\text{ind}_e$  has a reverse sign in these two cases by [Bes00, Lemma 4.6]. Thus, the result for  $U$  follows from those for  $U'_1$  and  $U'_2$ . Now, the case of a general  $U$ , still with trivial  $V$ , follows immediately. The general case now follows immediately by choosing bases. ■

PROPOSITION 2.7: *Let  $e$  be an annulus in  $\mathcal{H}$  and let  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . For  $\omega \in \Omega^1(e, V)$ , let  $F_\omega$  be its integral. Then  $\gamma F_\omega$  is a Coleman integral of  $\gamma(\omega)$  on  $\gamma(e)$ . Furthermore, if  $\eta$  is another such form, then we have*

$$\text{ind}_e(F_\omega, F_\eta) = \pm \text{ind}_{\gamma(e)}(\gamma(F_\omega), \gamma(F_\eta)),$$

*depending on whether  $\gamma$  is orientation reversing or preserving.*

*Proof:* We choose a basis  $\{v_i\}$  and  $\{u_j\}$  of  $V$ . Suppose  $F_\omega = \sum f_i v_i$  and  $F_\eta = \sum g_j u_j$ . Then

$$\text{ind}_e(F_\omega, F_\eta) = \sum_{ij} \text{ind}_e(f_i, g_j) \langle v_i, u_j \rangle$$

and

$$\text{ind}_{\gamma(e)}(\gamma(F_\omega), \gamma(F_\eta)) = \sum_{ij} \text{ind}_{\gamma(e)}((\gamma^{-1})^* f_i, (\gamma^{-1})^* g_j) \langle \gamma(v_i), \gamma(u_j) \rangle.$$

But by [Bes00, Lemma 4.6] we have, for each  $i$ ,

$$\text{ind}_e(f_i, g_j) = \pm \text{ind}_{\gamma(e)}((\gamma^{-1})^* f_i, (\gamma^{-1})^* g_j),$$

depending on whether  $\gamma^{-1}$  is orientation reversing or preserving. Since also  $\langle \gamma(v_i), \gamma(u_j) \rangle = \langle v_i, u_j \rangle$ , the result follows immediately. ■

### 3. The proof

*Proof of Theorem 1.1:* By the remark after Equation (5) in [dS88] we may assume that  $b_i$  and  $c_i$  contain no poles of  $\omega$  and  $\eta$ . Consider the domain  $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{P}^1 - \bigcup_i (B_i \cup C_i)$ , which is of the type considered in Section 2, and its annuli ends are the  $b_i$  and  $c_i$ . It follows from the description of the fundamental domain for  $\Gamma$  that  $\mathcal{F} - \bigcup_i (c_i \cup b_i)$  contains exactly one out of every  $\Gamma$  class of every singularity of either forms. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega \cup \eta &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{F}} \text{Res}_x \langle F_\omega, \eta \rangle = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{F}} \text{ind}_x(F_\omega, F_\eta) \\ &= - \sum_i (\text{ind}_{b_i}(F_\omega, F_\eta) + \text{ind}_{c_i}(F_\omega, F_\eta)) \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from Proposition 2.6. We now observe that since  $\gamma_i$  is orientation reversing, we have by Proposition 2.7 that  $\text{ind}_{b_i}(F_\omega, F_\eta) = -\text{ind}_{c_i}(\gamma_i F_\omega, \gamma_i F_\eta)$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &-(\text{ind}_{b_i}(F_\omega, F_\eta) + \text{ind}_{c_i}(F_\omega, F_\eta)) \\ &= \text{ind}_{c_i}(\gamma_i F_\omega, \gamma_i F_\eta) - \text{ind}_{c_i}(F_\omega, F_\eta) \\ &= \text{ind}_{c_i}(\gamma_i F_\omega - F_\omega, \gamma_i F_\eta) + \text{ind}_{c_i}(F_\omega, \gamma_i F_\eta - F_\eta) \\ &= \text{Res}_{c_i} \langle \gamma_i F_\omega - F_\omega, \gamma_i \eta \rangle - \text{Res}_{c_i} \langle \omega, \gamma_i F_\eta - F_\eta \rangle \quad \text{by Proposition 2.5} \\ &= \langle \gamma_i F_\omega - F_\omega, \text{Res}_{c_i} \eta \rangle - \langle \text{Res}_{c_i} \omega, \gamma_i F_\eta - F_\eta \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The theorem follows immediately. ■

### References

[Bes00] A. Besser, *Syntomic regulators and  $p$ -adic integration II:  $K_2$  of curves*, Israel Journal of Mathematics **120** (2000), 335–360.  
 [CdS88] R. Coleman and E. de Shalit,  *$p$ -adic regulators on curves and special values of  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions*, Inventiones Mathematicae **93** (1988), 239–266.

- [dS88]    E. de Shalit, *A formula for the cup product on Mumford curves*, in *Séminaire de Théorie des Nombres, 1987–1988 (Talence, 1987–1988)*, University Bordeaux I, Talence, 1988, pages Exp. No. 47, 10.
- [dS89]    E. de Shalit, *Eichler cohomology and periods of modular forms on  $p$ -adic Schottky groups*, *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik* **400** (1989), 3–31.
- [GvdP80] L. Gerritzen and M. van der Put, *Schottky groups and Mumford curves*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **817**, Springer, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York, 1980.
- [IS03]    A. Iovita and M. Spieß, *Derivatives of  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions, Heegner cycles and monodromy modules attached to modular forms*, *Inventiones Mathematicae* **154** (2003), 333–384.